



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,309	01/23/2004	Wayne H. Kaesemeyer	126625.00801	6530
7590 02/20/2008				
Pepper Hamilton LLP Firm 21269 One Mellon Center, 50th Floor 500 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219			EXAMINER CRANE, LAWRENCE E	
			ART UNIT 1623	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/20/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/763,309	Applicant(s) KAESEMEYER, WAYNE H.	
	Examiner Lawrence E. Crane	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

(1) Lawrence E. Crane.

(2) Raymond A. Miller.

Status of Application: Allowance withdrawn

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Alleged 102(b)'s

Claims discussed:

all of record

Prior art documents discussed:

two cited references


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 L. E. Crane
 Patent Examiner
 Technology Center 1600

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant and examiner uncovered an erroneous PALM data set and discovered correct data already in the record including one the declaration. Examiner requested submission of amended documentation (ADS, OATH, Amendment) to address minor errors and promised that the PALM and the bib data sheet would be corrected. .